

Advocacy Strategy Action Plan

Outcome 2: Increased capacity and knowledge of government, international and local humanitarian actors to advance durable solutions for protracted displacement

Output 2.1.: IOM/RWG through the Durable Solutions Secretariat supports government and key partners to strengthen and enable their capacity to advance durable solutions

Activity	Output/ Product	Frequency of dissemination	Advocacy level and Target Audience	Success indicators
Governorate Returns Committee (GRC) secretariat	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Document developed outlining the mechanism of the GRC secretariat ▪ Advocacy note on RWG’s position to durable solutions in Iraq 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ One time ▪ (To be reviewed quarterly) (Can be updated based on changing situation on the ground)) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Government (JCMC- as coordination body for GRCs) ▪ Donor (DFID) ▪ OCHA (national and sub- national levels) ▪ Senior Advisor on Durable Solutions ▪ Humanitarian Coordinator (HC) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Governorate-level coordination mechanisms (GCMs and governorate ICCGs) are used as the platforms to convene international partners and identify agencies able / willing to implement projects related to return and durable solutions ▪ Participation of relevant national-level bodies, such as the Communal Peace and Coexistence Committee, NOC, National Reconciliation Commission and many others in GRCs ▪ GRC role expanded to include stabilisation and development actors ▪ There exists a data-driven, inclusive, multi-stakeholder engagement along the humanitarian-development nexus ▪ Protection is mainstreamed throughout responses

Secondments to the government	<p>Staff seconded to the government at governorate, regional and national levels:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ National level: JCMC and MOMD in Baghdad ▪ Regional level: Secondments to KRG (JCC Erbil and BORHA Dohuk) ▪ Governorate level: 50% to IDP Advisor at Governors' office in Salah al Din, Kirkuk and Diyala 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ One time during the duration of the project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Government actors (JCMC, MOMD etc) ▪ RWG team 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Increased support to the government at the governorate, regional and national level related to durable solutions ▪ Increased engagement at governorate level ▪ Consistent information sharing between RWG and government entities (JCC, JCMC and MOMD etc) ▪ Regular participation of government actors in RWG and GRC meetings ▪ Positive feedback from government regarding the collaboration
Protracted displacement Framework	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Framework document addressing the obstacles preventing the remaining IDP caseload from returning to their homes is developed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ One time (To be reviewed annually if needed) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Government actors ▪ Members of the RWG ▪ Clusters/ ICCG ▪ HC/ HCT ▪ Senior durable solutions Advisor ▪ NGOs/ international community 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Protracted displacement framework is endorsed by GOI and HCT ▪ The endorsed framework forms the foundation for development of Governorate Plans of Action ▪ Protracted displacement and alternatives solutions to return is a subject of parliamentary/ government discussions ▪ Strategic dialogue is taking place to address IDPs facing access and security-related challenges/ high level advocacy issues (Cat 3)
Governorate Plans of Action	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Governorate actions plans developed for main affected governorates (based on the protracted displacement framework) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ One time (to be reviewed bi-annually/ quarterly) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Government actors ▪ GRC ▪ Clusters/ ICCG ▪ Humanitarian and development actors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implementation of projects directly tackling the categories of Protracted Displacement and durable solutions ▪ Resources are mobilized to implement activities required in the action plan

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ HC ▪ Senior Advisor on Durable solutions ▪ RWG members 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Reconciliation activities are taking place (Category 2) ▪ Engagement with security actors and authorities at field level (Cat 3) ▪ Forced returns have stopped ▪ Government facilitation of safe and voluntary return ▪ All three phases of attaining durable solutions are addressed: 1) pre-departure 2) return, local integration or relocation 3) transition towards development ▪
--	--	--	--	---

Output 2.2: *The Returns Working Group is engaged as a means for information sharing and advocacy on durable solutions*

Activity	Output/ Product	Frequency of dissemination	Advocacy level and Target Audience	Success indicators
Advocacy strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Advocacy strategy document to influence the government's policies, programme implementers' approaches and donors' strategies is developed. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ One time ▪ (to be reviewed quarterly if needed) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ RWG team ▪ Donor (DFID) ▪ Senior Advisor on Durable Solutions ▪ Donor working group on durable solutions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Government and other implementing actors have incorporated durable solutions into their policies ▪ The affected population is included in the decision-making process for humanitarian response ▪ IDPs are given an alternative durable solution option beside return ▪ Secondary displacement is not occurring anymore/ minimized

Feedback mechanism to returnees/ affected populations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Key messages for returnees communicated via the Iraq Information Centre (IIC) are developed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Every 3 months (quarterly) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ IIC ▪ GRC members and secretariat 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ High call resolution rate ▪ Positive feedback from callers ▪ Information is used by the GRC to inform discussions on required responses
Information on services at the areas of origin and feedback to GRCs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Regular field updates on key return issues are developed from return index dashboard and other sources. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Monthly 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ HC ▪ GRCs ▪ Senior Advisor on Durable solutions ▪ ICCG (national and sub-national) ▪ Donor working group on durable solutions ▪ Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Key issues from the field are raised and discussed with relevant authorities ▪ Information on concerned areas of origin presented at GRC meetings ▪ Information shared has influenced decision on interventions in areas of origin ▪ Partners are more informed on conditions in areas of origin, and able to prioritize interventions.
Emerging issues/ urgent interventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Advocacy messages with information on emerging issues/ urgent interventions e.g., areas of non- return and the barriers to non-returns, compensation, as well as possible interventions required prior to and after return of IDPs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ As required/ whenever emerging issues arise 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Government ▪ OCHA ▪ HC ▪ GRC ▪ ICCG ▪ Donor working group on durable solutions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Urgent action undertaken to address ongoing issue ▪ Conduciveness of conditions are ensured before the return of IDPs ▪ Interventions are taking place before and after the return of IDPs ▪ Forced returns stopped/ happen in a dignified manner
Best practices and lessons learnt	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Document developed highlighting best practices and lessons learnt on projects to facilitate voluntary returns etc. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Every 3- 6 months 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ International community ▪ Government actors ▪ GRCs ▪ HC 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Facilitated returns projects implemented in other areas using lessons learned and best practices ▪ New partnerships established in projects related to facilitated returns.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ ICCG ▪ Senior Advisor on durable solutions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Project plans cover a higher number of beneficiaries ▪ Success stories are replicated in other governorates
Local integration case studies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Case study reports 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Every 6 months (bi-annually) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ RWG ▪ ICCG ▪ GRCs ▪ Government actors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Local integration recognized as a durable solution by government ▪ Local integration and other options to durable solutions is being exercised in concerned areas ▪ Enabling factors for integration and success stories are shared across governorates
Areas of no return (AONR)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Insight reports on areas of no return 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Monthly 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ ICCG ▪ Donor working group on durable solutions ▪ HC ▪ Government 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Debates within the government have started regarding areas of no return ▪ Areas unblocked ▪ Advocacy campaign by ICCG to implement programs in these areas ▪ AONR information used in tailoring programs ▪ Programs are implemented in these areas
Return process	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Return Process documents that details the return procedures required for each governorate of return 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Every 6 months (in case of changes in the return processes) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Humanitarian, stabilisation, development and reconciliation actors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Engagement with humanitarian, stabilisation, development and reconciliation actors in an exercise aimed at consolidating knowledge and experience ▪ Success stories used to ease return procedures in other governorates
Training on Durable Solutions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Trainings on durable solutions conducted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Every 6 months 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ International and government partners 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Durable solutions are integrated within international and government actors' programming

				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Lessons learned documented ▪ Increased political interest in durable solutions
Workshops	Four workshops on durable solutions	Every 6 months	Government, international and local partners	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Workshop action points agreed upon ▪ Next steps from workshop have been carried out ▪ More budget allocated to implementing durable solutions ▪ Increased political interest in durable solutions