

## Durable Solutions Technical Working Group (DSTWG) – Summary of Meeting Meeting 16

15 March 2022

Co-chairs: Maanasa Reddy, Yoko Fujimura, Rene Dierkx

Members: OCHA, ICCG, UNICEF, TCC, IOM, UNDP, WFP, Protection Cluster, GIZ, ICRC, CLCI, SEDO, AL Tadamun Iraqi League for Youth, Housing & HLP sub-group, Facilitated Movement sub-group, DSTWG support

### Overview and Agenda

- Tour de Table
- Action Points from February
- General DSTWG Update
- ABC Update
- Presentation by M&A sub-group
- A.O.B

### Action Points from January 2022

- No major action point. The last meeting minutes, the Informal Sites presentation, the DSTWG presentation, and the Consolidated Coordination Tool have been shared.

### General DSTWG Update

- **ABC+DSO One day retreat:** A retreat was organised on 7 March in Erbil. Retreat covered new ABC ToRs, ABC coordination in practice, Joint ABC workplan, brainstorming of future challenges and opportunities. A call with ABC FPs are scheduled for next week and a report of the retreat to be shared with DSTWG members.
- **DSTWG and ABC TORs:** Additional comments received and incorporated from partners. Updated versions have been shared with DSTF co-chairs.
- **East Anbar FP Selection:** Received 8 expression of interest for the 2nd Focal Point and the analysis has been submitted to DSTF co-chairs.


### ABC Update and DSTWG sub-Group updates

#### ABC Update:

- ABC Hawiga** Official launch of ABC Kirkuk is scheduled for 30 March.
- ABC Sinjar** PoA in Arabic and English are ready, pending feedback from local governments (e.g. mayor).
- ABC Ba'aj** A call for support for returnees from Syria is sent by INTERSOS.
- ABC SAD** No major update
- ABC Mosul:** Version 2 of PoA shared with ABC members. Bilateral discussions with Ninewa WASH cluster commenced.
- ABC Diyala:** Joint workshop with Diyala ICCG on the needs and gaps for Khanaquin is scheduled for 23 March.
- ABC East Anbar:** Community consultation to be finalised
- ABC West Anbar:** Incorporating the community consultation results into PoA.

POA status update, roll out of the community consultations and the list of initial target locations are available in the PPT for reference.

Remarks, Discussion and Q&A

- **IOM:** How is the community consultation feedback incorporated in PoAs?  Mainly it is aimed at ensuring that the proposed plans do not miss out needs and gaps from the community members. Recommend reaching out to ABC groups for any specific areas/sectors.

DSTWG sub-Group updates:

**Housing and HLP:** Presented 2 guidance notes (one on HLP rights and the other on Secondary occupation and mediation). They are under the finalization phase (design and layout) and English version of both documents will be ready in 10 days while they process the Arabic translation. The first document focuses on rights, including rights to adequate housing, property, land as well as security of tenure. The latter document focuses on when and how a secondary occupation happens and coping mechanisms, mediation, alternative dispute resolutions, etc.

Next meeting is scheduled for the end of March.

**Facilitated Movement:** Members agreed the importance of integrating informal sites to support the operations for both humanitarian and DS. ABC groups and partners are recommended to include the informal sites in their operational coordination.

**Social Cohesion:** Inclusion of NGOs in TCC is ongoing.

Regarding the situation of J1 and returns from Al Hol, TCC has been coordinating with partners for advocacy as well as with North East Syria (NES) forum with Iraqi humanitarian partners. Iraqi government is planning to increase the return of Iraqi nationals to Iraq. IOM has shared a report on the community's perception towards returns from Al Hol.

Dashboard on J1 has been established. Next return from J1 to their Area of Origin is planned for 20 March (potentially 280 families).



Discussions were organised among UN agencies on the global framework of integration of families affiliated with ISIL. Workshop organised by UNDP and IOM on the return from Al Hol, focusing on Anbar, especially including the challenges to access compensation. ABC FP from West and East Anbar were also invited.

Prosecution, Rehabilitation and Reintegration (PRR) round table has been facilitated by ICCC managed by IOM. TCC's main focus is the families with perceived affiliation with ISIL but considering expanding the coverage to include social cohesion. Therefore, TCC has been reviewing PoAs and we shall discuss further to ensure the inclusion of social cohesion as there is no social cohesion specialist in each ABC groups.

Next meeting on 4 April.

**Monitoring & Assessment:** Sub-group received feedback from partners and drafted output indicators.

Remarks, Discussion and Q&A

- **GIZ re social cohesion:** GIZ would like to join the technical discussion on social cohesion in Anbar.  GIZ colleagues in Anbar are already active in the coordination (TCC) and GIZ is considered as one of the main actors.
- **DSTWG co-chair re Facilitated Movement sub-group:** Potentially a new sub-group can be formed to focus on the integration of informal sites and settlements or CCCM cluster can work closely with ABC groups especially for the enhanced coordination. What are thoughts of the DSTWG members? If the current sub-group will expand the responsibility, then we shall review the ToRs.  (by Facilitated Movement sub-group) Sub-group will discuss some options to see the best scenario. Sub-group and CCCM will keep sharing information and it would be great to know what type of information is useful for the DSTWG members. Direct ABC engagement sounds good for operational coordination. Separated discussion is required either to close the current sub-group and form a new one or expand the coverage of the current sub-group.

- **IOM:** Sub-group consist of small number of members with technical expertise. It would be interesting to discuss at the DSTF regarding the concrete deliverables of sub-groups in general.



## Presentation by M&A sub-group

Presentation on: Monitoring Framework (output level indicators)<sup>1</sup>

### Remarks, Discussion and Q&A

- **SO1 (Gov leadership):** No major remarks from the sub-group.
- **SO2 (Housing and HLP):** Outcome indicator of “proportion of population with access to a safe and healthy housing enclosure unit” initially had output indicators. However, they were removed as they are similar to those under the first outcome indicator.
  - (OCHA) Indicators indicate “proportion”. What is the target population? Also recommend consider adding output indicators for the of “proportion of population with access to a safe and healthy housing enclosure unit”. Critical shelter upgrade can be one. Good point for the target population and it will be discussed with DSTWG co-chairs and members.
- **SO3 (Livelihood):** No major remarks from the sub-group.
  - (WFP) Regarding the outcome indicator of “target population aged 5-17 engaged in child labour”, shouldn’t it be the number of children out of labour and back to education? Do we have any baseline for this? In order for us to track, we should have the tracking mechanisms as we (partners) are more focused on getting them out of labour. Sub-group will consider the wording of the indicators as well as the second comment.
  - (OCHA) How do we link the output and outcome indicators as the outcome focuses on proportion and the output focuses on numbers. Outcome indicators are meant to monitor outcome progress. Output indicators envisaged to monitor progress especially PoAs.
- **SO4 (Basic Services):** Output indicators were removed for “proportion of the population with stable access to electricity” and “proportion of population with access to an improved water source in sufficient quantities for drinking and domestic purposes” because they are similar to those presented earlier.
- **SO5 (Documentation and Rights):** No major remarks from the sub-group.
- **SO6 (Social cohesion):** Inputs also received TCC on the output level indicators.
- **SO7 (Safety and security):** No major remarks from the sub-group.
- **SO8 (Facilitated movements):** No major remarks from the sub-group.
- **Next steps:** Share finalised monitoring framework with DSTWG members after consulting further with some cluster coordinators to receive their final inputs.
  - (OCHA) More consultation is requested to align these indicators with those which already exist as many activities are related to HRP. Relying on the HRP (humanitarian-oriented) data may lead to some challenges in the future. We also need to avoid double reporting. Is this framework envisaged at the national or district level? Sub-group and DSTWG co-chairs are aware of the importance of avoiding double reporting and willing to have more consultation.

<sup>1</sup> Please refer to the attached presentation for details.

- **Overall framework:**  (Facilitated movement/ CCCM) How does the monitoring framework may continued to inform the transition considering the decreasing funding for humanitarian responses? Link to HRP IM is important but something more strategic will be also important.  (OCHA) Not sure to what extent this framework can respond to the question above. There are high-level discussions on the transition and as a result, those discussion should be linked to those at DSTF.

#### AOB

- Maanasa Reddy (DSTWG co-chair) and Ismael Frioud (Advisor to DSRSG/RC/HC) are completing their assignment in Iraq and this is the last meeting for them.

#### Action Points

- DSTWG co-chairs and Facilitated Movement sub-group to discuss issues, challenges and options discussed under the updates from the sub-group.
- M&A sub-group to share the finalised monitoring framework with DSTWG members.