

Displacement and Returns Update

Returns Working Group, 26 January 2021

Latest Displacement Data (Nov-Dec 2020)



IDPs

1,224,108 Individuals 1,2

Latest Mobility Data (Nov-Dec 2020)

- 54,756











Changes throughout the year





- The data for Round 11 of the Return Index was collected during the months of November and December 2020
- As this round is the last of 2020, some of the changes recorded throughout the year are presented.
- Since the Return Index Round 7 (collected in November – December 2019), an additional 322
 Locations of roturn wore assessed
 January 2021



Return locations per category of severity

5

Conditions of Return: June vs October December 2020



High Severity	Medium Severity	Low Severity	High Severity	Medium Severity	Low Severity
10%	41%	49 %	12%	39 %	49 %
484,548 returnees	1,953,102 returnees	2,367,954 returnees	522,090 returnees	1,750,440 returnees	2,206,542 returnees

• Out of the 2,076 return locations assessed, 423 present severe conditions hosting 10 per cent of the returnee population, or 484,548 individuals.

- A decrease of 37,542 returnees living in severe or poor conditions has been observed since the round collected in November December 2019, when 12 per cent of the returnee population (522,090 individuals) were in severe conditions.
- The largest decreases in number of returnees living in severe conditions were recorded in Salah al-Din and Anbar, while the largest increase was observed in Ninewa governorates.

The largest increases





• In Salah al-Din, the largest decreases were recorded in Tikrit and Tuz Khurmatu, where an improvement of the situation in relation to agricultural activities, businesses, employment and reconciliation process was observed.

- In Anbar, the largest decrease was observed in Falluja due to the improvement of situation with daily public life, agricultural activities, and businesses.
- In Ninewa, the largest increases were recorded in Sinjar and Al-Ba'aj as poor conditions were recorded in the newly assessed locations related to agricultural or livestock activities, businesses, electricity sufficiency and services provided by civil servants, teachers, nurses, police, etc., together with the absence of reconciliation

HOTSPOTS PER GOVERNORATE

Thirty-three hotspots were identified across five governorates in this round.

SALAH AL-DIN	NINEWA
156,954	512,520
eturnees in notspots	returnees i hotspots
Al-Amerli	Al-Qahtan
Al-Eshaqi	Al-Shamal
Al-Moatassem	Ayadiya
Al-Siniya	Hamam al
Markaz Al-Balad	Markaz Al-
Markaz Al-Daur	Markaz Sir
Markaz Al-Shirqat	Markaz Tel
Aarkaz Baiji	Qaeyrrawa
Markaz Samarra	Zummar
Markaz Tuz Khurmatu	
Suleiman Beg	
'athreb	

ANBAR 382,680 returnees in in hotspots Al-Amirya niya Al-Baghdady Al-Forat Al-Garma Aleel Husaibah Al-Sharqiah -Ba'ai Markaz Al-Ka'im niar elafar Markaz Heet an

DIYALA

139,302 returnees in hotspots

Abo Sayda Jalula Markaz Al-Muqdadiya

Qara Tabe

BAGHDAD





Naiaf

Muthanna

Al-Salman

Subdistricts are classified as 'hotspots' if they score highly in terms of severity on at least one of the two scales (either livelihoods and basic services, or safety and social cohesion) or if they score medium in terms of severity but also host relatively large numbers of returnees – at least 60,000 returnees in a subdistrict.

January 2021

Basrah

ET: Arrivals from Camps



• Between 11 and 17 January 2021, a total of 857 new households (4,274 individuals) have been recorded as arriving to non-camp settings following the camp closures that are currently taking place. Ninewa governorate received all the arrivals primarily in the districts of Mosul, Sinjar and Al-Ba'aj.

33,290 70% a

Arrivals

6.519

70% as returnees 80% as out-ofcamp IDPs

Households

Individuals

• A total of 6,519 households (33,290 individuals) have been recorded as arriving to non-camp settings since the camp closures began in mid-October. This reflects the general trend since the movements began, with Ninewa having received the most arrivals overall (3,677 families) followed by Kirkuk, and then Diyala.

• Of the total recorded arrivals since 18 October 2020, 1,965 households (30%) have not returned to their location of origin and are now considered to be secondarily displaced, while 4,554 households (70%) have returned to their respective village or neighborhood of origin and are considered to be returnees.



Arrivals from Camps





ET: Camp Departures





Households 9,066

45,039 Individuals

22% of all camp IDPs have departed a formal camp

- In the same reporting period, a further 1,255 households (6,318 individuals) were recorded as departing from formal camp settings, all from As Salamyiah 2 camp in Al-Hamdaniya district, Ninewa governorate.
- This brings the total number of departures to 9,066 families (45,039 individuals) since this round of camp closures began in mid-October 2020.

While most departures have taken place from Ninewa governorate, departures have also been recorded from camp settings in Anbar, Baghdad, Diyala, Kerbala, Kirkuk and Salah Al-Din governorates.



ET: Returns to Sinjar



- The majority of individuals have been recorded as returnees (77%), while 23% have been recorded as out-of-camp IDPs. This means that around ¼ of individuals end up in secondary displacement.
- The majority of individuals left camp settings in Sumel and Zakho, in Duhok, as well as Shikhan in Ninewa.

- As of 3 January 2021, 45,268 individuals have returned to Sinjar and Al-Ba'aj districts since 8 June.
- Between 22 November 2020 and 3 January 2021, the average number of daily individual arrivals was 111 to Sinjar and 10 to Al-Ba'aj.



The Displacement Index



- The tool is designed to measure the severity of conditions in locations with IDP HHs.
- Data is collected at community-level through DTM's network of Key Informants in all non-camp locations hosting IDPs across Iraq by IOM's Rapid Assessment and Response Teams (RARTs).



Ranking of locations



DTM 2021



Round/Time	Collected	Published
1. Jan-Feb	ML, DI	ML 119, RI 11
2. March-April	ML, RI, DI	ML 120, yearly RI overview, preliminary DI
		Pilot analysis
3. May-June	ML, ILA	ML 121, RI 12, DI 1
4. July-Aug	ML, RI, DI	ML 122, ILA data, thematic RI report
5. Sept-Oct	ML, DI	ML 123, RI, DI 2
Nov-Dec	ML, RI	ML <u>124 ,</u> DI 3

SUPPORTING DISPLACED COMMUNITIES

Camp Closures Update

27 January 2021

Closures

- 42,449 ind. departed
- 16 sites closed or reclassified since mid-October
 - 14 camps closed
 - 2 camps reclassified as inf. sites
 - 2 informal sites closed
- 2 camps open in federal Iraq: – AAF in Anbar, Jeddah 5 in Ninewa
- KRI administration: 25 camps



Closures & updates

Jeddah 5 closure announced on 21 January. Departures now ongoing

Data to inform response:

- Area of origin data available from CCCM, although many families may be expected to end up in secondary displacement
- IOM-DTM conducting emergency tracking of arrival locations of families leaving from camps
- CCCM/Protection Cluster & IIC Camp Departure Follow-Up Survey captures details of situation of families around 2 weeks after departure



Camp Departure Follow-up Survey SUDDEN CAMP CLOSURES | Update 5 | 14 October - 19 January 2021



of HHs by arrival district

Overview

A Follow-Up Survey, jointly developed by the CCCM and Protection Clusters and the Iraq Information Centre (IIC), is conducted by the IIC with families around 2 weeks after their departure from camps. Calls are made based on contact details from the Camp Exit Survey conducted by participating camp management agencies as part of the camp departure process. Data is indicative only to the number of people reached by the IIC. Needs represented are for families who have departed to out-of-camp locations.

It includes all previous data, and newly includes additional data from Laylan IDP (Diyala) and Al Karama (Salah al Din), plus the first data from Al Salamyiah (Ninewa).

An interactive version of the full dashboard can be found <u>here</u>, and an overview of protection concerns <u>here</u>. IOM-DTM report on all movements <u>here</u>. The full dataset including individual referrals can be requested by humanitarian partners from the CCCM Cluster. Contact: frahman@iom.int







Al-Mosul				86
Telafar			363	
Khanaqin		1	234	
Kirkuk		2	229	
Hawiga		19	91	
Beygee		112		
Al-Baaj	8	6		
Al-Muqdadiya	8	6		
Balad	59)		
Al-Falluja	41			
Muqdadiya	38			
Sinjar	38			
Shirqat	37			
Al-Hatra	35			
Baquba	30			
Al-Kaim	29			
Erbil	28			
Mosul	27			
Tikrit	27			
Al-Hawiga	25			
Al-Ramadi	23			
Kerbela	23			
Al-Mihalabya	16			
Daquq	15			
Kadhimia	13			
Al-Khalidiya	12			
Tilkaef	12			

Al-Hamdaniya 10

Camp departure follow-up survey

Latest survey, capturing families departed from closed camps:

- 41% of households report having not returned to their area of origin when they were required to depart from a camp
- Of those who returned to areas of origin, 38% report not being able to return to their previous residence
- 18% of households report residing in substandard shelter tents, unfinished or abandoned buildings, or makeshift shelters, while 40% report renting housing. Almost all families report either relying on daily labour, borrowing money, or having no income.
- 55% report that they have not had access to sufficient food since leaving the camp
- 37% report not having access to sufficient drinking water, and 57% not having access to sufficient hygiene items, since leaving the camp
- 16% of households report having family members missing civil documentation, and 39% report at least one family member being in need of medical assistance that they are unable to access.



Camp Follow-Up Survey - what data is available?

<u>Dashboard</u>, for overview analysis [public]
 - PDF + interactive

- 2. Anonymised <u>Dataset</u>, to inform locationlevel response [request to cluster]
- 3. <u>Referrals</u> individual family data & contact details by location, for program intake [request to cluster]









DS Update





- Developed in line with shifting context
- Understanding that DS requires engagement from humanitarian, development, stabilization peacebuilding and development actors - i.e. nexus approach
- Core principle is ensuring government ownership and lead – this structure is to ensure coherence in the proposals put forward/suggestions made by international and non-gov actors.
 Government will and must be engaged at all levels, through most appropriate channels (which will differ by area)
- Recognition of the specific objective of DS

 to resolve displacement and a need for
 focused efforts to achieve this goal
- Importance of building on and working through existing mechanisms, while noting that important to adopt DS lens to view issues



National Level

Work driven by DSTF

- Engagement on National Plan MoMD and MoP
- Technical Meetings at end of 2020
- Feedback largely incorporated
- (Near) finalization of the plan
- Next Steps
 - Further discussions on implementation approaches updating on ABC proposed approach to develop localized durable solutions plans of action, with support of DSTWG
 - Regular forum to discuss any cross-cutting issues/ensure national support feedback loop between local and national level

DSTWG



Overseeing and supporting area-level DS approaches

- Body through which area-based durable solutions plans of action will be overseen and steered
- Area-level groups are small, core, planning bodies including focal points and 5-7 members –that steer and guide the development, implementation and monitoring of local DS plans jointly with authorities
- Members should be from the area, from across 'the spectrum' of organisations, and not necessarily DSTWG members (although at least one focal point should be for linkages with national DSTWG).
- These members and focal points will link with other groups idea is not to recreate and duplicate other bodies – this is a core group that will very actively participate in planning and government engagement
- Area level groups have set processes to follow to develop plans ensuring harmonization and consistency in approaches, with guidance and support from national DSTWG...

Developing Plans



Area-level planning guidelines in brief

- 1. Initial focal points come together to identify key issues, priorities, potential to support, based on available data, assessments, map out key stakeholders to engage
- 2. Once proposition of approach ready, can be put forward to key government counterpart e.g. governor, mayor (depending on area) for joint agreement to kick off process, identify additional stakeholders for a roundtable consultation
- 3. Consult with other local and international actors for inputs into the approach, priority areas, groups etc
- 4. Organise roundtable which includes sensitisation towards DS, key government counterparts and representatives of the group
- 5. Further consultations and assessments as required
- 6. Drafting of plan
- 7. Plan to be shared for inputs, review
- 8. Finalisation, implementation, follow-up.

Selection of Areas



Target Areas. Area-level planning, triggered when a combination of the following criteria is met

- Actors available/willing to support and lead durable solutions arealevel planning and implementation
- Evidence base of needs e.g. high ranking in DTM Return Index within the defined area
- Willingness/commitment of authorities to support and participate within the area
- Access/feasibility to respond with interventions 'across spectrum' of Humanitarian, development, stabilization and peace-building actors for the specific area





حلول دائمة في العراق Initial Areas and Indicative Focal Points

Governorate	Area	Member organisations	Contact	Email
Anbar	West Anbar (Qaim, Heet, Haditha)	ОСНА	Birgit Velte	velte@un.org
Anbar	West Anbar (Qaim, Heet, Haditha)	UNDP	Abdul-sattar Al Shetawi	abdul-sattar.al-shetawi@undp.org
Anbar	West Anbar (Qaim, Heet, Haditha)	NRC (TBC)		
Anbar	West Anbar (Qaim, Heet, Haditha)	UNHCR (TBC)		
Anbar	West Anbar (Qaim, Heet, Haditha)	SIF	Ayad Hamad KHALIFA	pm1.iq@secours-islamique.org
Anbar	West Anbar (Qaim, Heet, Haditha)	DSTWG support	Mohammed Abdulrazzaq	MARAZZAK@iom.int
Anbar	East Anbar (Fallujah, Ramadi)	ОСНА	Birgit Velte	velte@un.org
Anbar	East Anbar (Fallujah, Ramadi)	Al Tadhamun (TILY)		
Anbar	East Anbar (Fallujah, Ramadi)	GIZ	Johannes Strittmatter	johannes.strittmatter@giz.de
Anbar	East Anbar (Fallujah, Ramadi)	UNDP	Abdul-sattar Al Shetawi	abdul-sattar.al-shetawi@undp.org
Anbar	East Anbar (Fallujah, Ramadi)	IOM (TBC)		
Anbar	East Anbar (Fallujah, Ramadi)	DSTWG support	Mohammed Abdulrazzaq	MARAZZAK@iom.int
Kirkuk	Kirkuk (all)	ОСНА	Eveline Viehboeck	viehboeck@un.org
Kirkuk	Kirkuk (all)	UNDP	Alasdair Mackie	alasdair.mackie@undp.org
Kirkuk	Kirkuk (all)	NRC (TBC)		
Kirkuk	Kirkuk (all)	DSTWG support	Ahmed Faraj	AHFARAJ@iom.int
Ninewa	Sinjar	ОСНА	Abdulraheem Abdullah	abdulraheem.abdullah@un.org
Ninewa	Sinjar	IOM	Côme de Nanteuil	CDENANTEUIL@iom.int
Ninewa	Sinjar	UNHCR	Toby Harward	HARWARD@unhcr.org
Ninewa	Sinjar	UNDP	Alasdair Mackie	alasdair.mackie@undp.org
Ninewa	Sinjar	GIZ	Diana Vuzic	diana.vuzic@giz.de
Ninewa	Sinjar	DSTWG support	Ahmed Faraj	AHFARAJ@iom.int
Ninewa	Ba'aj	Intersos	Valeria Anzalone	programme.ninewa.iraq@intersos.org
Ninewa	Ba'aj	UNDP	Alasdair Mackie	alasdair.mackie@undp.org
Ninewa	Ba'aj	GIZ	Diana Vuzic	diana.vuzic@giz.de
Ninewa	Ba'aj	IOM (TBC)	Côme de Nanteuil	CDENANTEUIL@iom.int
Ninewa	Ba'aj	NRC (TBC)		
Ninewa	Ba'aj	DSTWG support	Ahmed Faraj	AHFARAJ@iom.int
Diyala	Muqdadiya, Jalawla, Saadiya	ОСНА	Birgit Velte	velte@un.org
Diyala	Muqdadiya, Jalawla, Saadiya	UNDP	Abdul-sattar Al Shetawi	abdul-sattar.al-shetawi@undp.org
Diyala	Muqdadiya, Jalawla, Saadiya	DRC (TBC)		
Diyala	Muqdadiya, Jalawla, Saadiya	IOM (TBC)		
Diyala	Muqdadiya, Jalawla, Saadiya	DSTWG support	Mohammed Abdulrazzaq	MARAZZAK@iom.int
Salah al-Din	Shirqat, Baiji	ОСНА	Eveline Viehboeck	viehboeck@un.org
Salah al-Din	Shirqat, Baiji	UNDP	Abdul-sattar Al Shetawi	abdul-sattar.al-shetawi@undp.org
Salah al-Din	Shirqat, Baiji	DRC (TBC)		
Salah al-Din	Shirqat, Baiji	DSTWG support	Mohammed Abdulrazzag	MARAZZAK@iom.int



Support from National Level





Current Status and Next Steps

Current Status and Next Steps	Timeframe				
National level (DSTWG)					
Finalise Operational Framework, linking to Gol National Plan	Next Week				
Endorse ABC TORs	Complete				
Continue engagement with government to agree on sub-national implementation modalities	Jan 2021 – led by DSTF				
 Continue development of technical guidelines, building on existing practice Facilitated returns, including in informal settlements Other sectors relevant to DS in Iraq 	Ongoing				
 Continue development of area-level guidance and support Support to government roundtables and POA drafting Service mapping support and guidance Monitoring mechanisms 	Ongoing (template for plans of Action by end of Jan 2021)				
Area level (ABC)					
Expand number of areas and locations and finalise leads/names/contacts	Jan 2021				
Provide briefing and induction to all focal points to outline expectations and available support	Jan 2021				
Launch area-based planning, including government roundtables	Feb/March 2021				
Draft DS POAs, jointly with Government	Feb/March 2021				